

Theta divisors and the Frobenius morphism

David A. Madore

Abstract

We introduce theta divisors for vector bundles and relate them to the ordinariness of curves in characteristic $p > 0$. We prove, following M. Raynaud, that the sheaf of locally exact differentials in characteristic $p > 0$ has a theta divisor, and that the generic curve in (any) genus $g \geq 2$ and (any) characteristic $p > 0$ has a cover that is not ordinary (and which we explicitly construct).

1 Theta divisors for vector bundles

Let k be an algebraically closed field and X a smooth proper connected curve over $\text{Spec } k$ having genus g . We assume throughout that $g \geq 2$.

If E is a vector bundle (i.e. a locally free invertible sheaf) of rank r and degree d over X , we define its *slope* to be $\lambda = d/r$. The Riemann-Roch formula gives the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of E :

$$\chi(X, E) = h^0(X, E) - h^1(X, E) = r(\lambda - (g - 1))$$

In particular for $\lambda = g - 1$ (the *critical slope*) we have $\chi(X, E) = 0$; moreover, it is still true for any invertible sheaf L of degree 0 over X that $\chi(X, E \otimes L) = 0$, in other words, $h^0(X, E \otimes L) = h^1(X, E \otimes L)$. Under those circumstances, it is natural to ask the following question:

Question 1.1. *Suppose E has critical slope. Then for which invertible sheaves L of degree 0 (if any) is it true that $h^0(X, E \otimes L) = 0$ (and consequently also $h^1(X, E \otimes L) = 0$)? Is this true for some L , for many L , or for none?*

We start with a necessary condition. Suppose there were some subbundle $F \hookrightarrow E$ having slope $\lambda(F) > \lambda(E) = g - 1$. Then we would have

$\chi(X, F \otimes L) > 0$, hence $h^0(X, F \otimes L) > 0$. Now $H^0(X, F \otimes L) \hookrightarrow H^0(X, E \otimes L)$, so this implies $h^0(X, E \otimes L) > 0$. So if we are to have $h^0(X, E \otimes L) > 0$ for some L , this must not happen, and we say that E is *semi-stable*:

Definition 1.2. *A vector bundle E over X is said to be stable (resp. semi-stable) iff for every sub-vector-bundle F of E (other than 0 and E) we have $\lambda(F) < \lambda(E)$ (resp. $\lambda(F) \leq \lambda(E)$).*

Another remark we can make bearing some relation with question (1.1) is that, by the semicontinuity theorem, if we let L vary on the jacobian of X , the functions $h^0(X, E \otimes L)$ and $h^1(X, E \otimes L)$ are upper semicontinuous. This means that they increase on closed sets. In particular, if $h^0(X, E \otimes L) = 0$ (the smallest possible value) for some L , then this is true in a whole neighborhood of L , that is, for almost all L . We then say that this holds for a *general* invertible sheaf of degree 0 and we write $h^0(X, E \otimes L_{\text{gen}}) = 0$.

Now introduce the jacobian variety J of X and let \mathcal{L} be the (some) Poincaré sheaf (universal invertible sheaf of degree 0) on $X \times_{\text{Spec } k} J$. We aim to use \mathcal{L} to let L vary and provide universal analogues for our formulæ. Let

$$\begin{array}{c} X \times_{\text{Spec } k} J \\ \downarrow f \\ J \end{array}$$

be the second projection.

Consider the sheaf $E \otimes \mathcal{L}$ (by this we mean the twist by \mathcal{L} of the pullback of E to $X \times_{\text{Spec } k} J$). Our interest is mainly in the higher direct image $Rf_*(E \otimes \mathcal{L})$, which incorporates information about $H^i(X, E \otimes L)$ (and much more).

To be precise, we know that there exists a complex $0 \rightarrow M^0 \xrightarrow{u} M^1 \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles on J that universally computes the $R^i f_*(E \otimes \mathcal{L})$, in the sense that the i -th cohomology group ($i = 0, 1$) of the complex is $R^i f_*(E \otimes \mathcal{L})$ and that this remains true after any base change $J' \rightarrow J$. (One particularly important such base change, of course, is the embedding of a closed point $\{L\}$ in J .)

Now M^0 and M^1 have the same rank, say s (because the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of E is 0). So we can consider the determinant of u , $\bigwedge^s M^0 \xrightarrow{\det u} \bigwedge^s M^1$, or rather

$$\mathcal{O}_J \xrightarrow{\det u} \bigwedge^s M^1 \otimes (\bigwedge^s M^0)^{\otimes -1}$$

Exactly one of the following two things happens:

- *Either* the determinant $\det u$ is zero (identically). In this case, u is nowhere (i.e. on no fiber) invertible, it always has a kernel and a cokernel: we have $h^0(X, E \otimes L) > 0$ (and of course $h^1(X, E \otimes L) > 0$) for all L .
- *Or* $\det u$ is a nonzero section of the invertible sheaf $\bigwedge^s M^1 \otimes (\bigwedge^s M^0)^{\otimes -1}$ on J and it defines a positive divisor θ_E on J , whose support is precisely the locus of L such that $h^0(X, E \otimes L) > 0$. We then have $h^0(X, E \otimes L_{\text{gen}}) = 0$.

In other words, precisely in the case where $h^0(X, E \otimes L_{\text{gen}}) = 0$ we can define a positive divisor θ_E on J which tells us “where the bundle E has cohomology”. We call this divisor the *theta divisor* of the vector bundle E . And we will use the expression “to admit a theta divisor” as synonymous for $h^0(X, E \otimes L_{\text{gen}}) = 0$. For example, a vector bundle that admits a theta divisor is semi-stable (but the converse is not true, cf. [1]).

2 Enters the Frobenius morphism

We now assume that the base field k has characteristic $p > 0$. We then have a relative Frobenius morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X_1 \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Spec } k & \end{array}$$

which is obtained by factoring the absolute Frobenius morphism through the pullback to X of the Frobenius on k (more descriptively, π has the effect, in projective space, of raising the coordinates to the p -th power, while X_1 is the curve obtained by raising to the p -th powers the coefficients in the equations defining X).

The curve X_1 has the same genus g as X . The morphism π is flat, finite and purely inseparable of degree p . From it we deduce a morphism $\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ (of \mathcal{O}_{X_1} -modules), which is mono because π is surjective. Call B_1 the cokernel, so that we have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

Now the sheaf B_1 can be viewed in a different way: if we call d the differential $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$ (between sheaves of \mathbb{Z} -modules) then $\pi_*(d)$ is \mathcal{O}_{X_1} -linear and has \mathcal{O}_{X_1} as kernel. Consequently, B_1 can also be seen as the image of $\pi_*(d)$, hence its name of *sheaf of locally exact differentials*. As a subsheaf of the locally free sheaf $\pi_*\Omega_X^1$, it is itself a vector bundle.

In the short exact sequence (1) above, the vector bundles \mathcal{O}_{X_1} and $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ have rank 1 and p respectively, so that B_1 has rank $p-1$. On the other hand, since \mathcal{O}_{X_1} and $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ each have Euler-Poincaré characteristic $g-1$, we have $\chi(X_1, B_1) = 0$, or in other words, $\lambda(B_1) = g-1$ (the critical slope), and what we have said in the previous section applies to the sheaf B_1 .

More precisely, we have the following long exact sequence in cohomology, derived from (1):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & k & \xrightarrow{\quad \sim \quad} & k & & \\
& & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \rightarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \rightarrow & H^0(X_1, B_1) \rightarrow \\
& & \rightarrow & H^1(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}) & \rightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \rightarrow H^1(X_1, B_1) \rightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

Here the first arrow is an isomorphism as shown. Consequently, the arrow $H^1(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is also an isomorphism if and only if $h^0(X_1, B_1) = 0$, or, what amounts to the same, $h^1(X_1, B_1) = 0$. This is again the same as saying that B_1 has a theta divisor (something which we will see is always true) and that it does not go through the origin.

Definition 2.1. *When the equivalent conditions mentioned in the previous paragraph are satisfied, we say that the curve X is ordinary.*

3 The sheaf of locally exact differentials has a theta divisor

In this section we prove the following result due to M. Raynaud ([1]):

Theorem 3.1. *If X is a smooth projective connected curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and B_1 is the sheaf of locally exact differentials on X_1 , as introduced above, then we have $h^0(X_1, B_1 \otimes L_{\text{gen}}) = 0$, i.e. the vector bundle B_1 admits a theta divisor (in particular, it is semi-stable).*

Thus we can state the fact that a curve is ordinary simply by saying that the theta divisor of B_1 does not go through the origin.

To start with, introduce the jacobians J and J_1 of X and X_1 respectively. Then J_1 is the Frobenius image of J , and we have a relative Frobenius morphism $F: J \rightarrow J_1$ that is purely inseparable of degree p^g ; it corresponds to taking the norm on invertible sheaves of degree 0 — or, if we prefer using points, it takes $\mathcal{O}_X(\Sigma n_i x_i)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(\Sigma n_i \pi(x_i))$. On the other hand, we also have the *Verschiebung* morphism in the other direction $V: J_1 \rightarrow J$, which corresponds to pulling back by π — or again, it takes $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(\Sigma n_i x_i)$ to $\mathcal{O}_X(\Sigma p n_i \pi^{-1}(x_i))$. The *Verschiebung* map also has degree p^g . The composite of the *Verschiebung* and Frobenius morphisms, in any direction, is the raising to the p -th power.

We will show something more precise than just saying that B_1 has a theta divisor: we will actually show that this theta divisor does not contain all of $\ker V$ in the neighborhood of 0. However, we will see from actual equations that it “almost” does.

If L_1 is an invertible sheaf of degree 0 on X_1 (that is, a k -point of J_1), the short exact sequence (1) becomes, after tensoring by L_1 :

$$0 \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* L_1 \rightarrow B_1 \otimes L_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

Now let \mathcal{L}_1 be the Poincaré bundle on $X_1 \times_{\text{Spec } k} J_1$. The universal analogue of (2) above is

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow (\pi \times 1_{J_1})_* (\pi \times 1_{J_1})^* \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow B_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow 0$$

But by the definition of the *Verschiebung*, the sheaf $(\pi \times 1_{J_1})^* \mathcal{L}_1$ is also $(1_X \times V)^* \mathcal{L}$ so that the exact sequence can be written as

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow (\pi \times 1_{J_1})_* (1_X \times V)^* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow 0$$

We now introduce projections as designated on the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \times J & \xleftarrow{1_X \times V} & X \times J_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi \times 1_{J_1}} & X_1 \times J_1 \\ f \downarrow & & \square & & \downarrow g \\ & & V & & \\ J & \xleftarrow{\quad} & J_1 & \xleftarrow{f_1} & \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Now we want to calculate the $R(f_1)_*$ of this. For one thing, looking at the diagram (3) above, we see that $R(f_1)_*(\pi \times 1_{J_1})_* (1_X \times V)^* \mathcal{L}$ is $Rg_*(1_X \times V)^* \mathcal{L}$,

and by base change (note that the morphism V is flat), this is $V^*Rf_*\mathcal{L}$. Thus we have the following distinguished triangle, in the derived category of the category of sheaves on J_1 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & R(f_1)_*(B_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1) & \\
 \swarrow^{+1} & & \searrow \\
 R(f_1)_*\mathcal{L}_1 & \xrightarrow{a} & V^*Rf_*\mathcal{L}
 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

And the corresponding long exact sequence of cohomology is

$$0 \rightarrow (f_1)_*(B_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1) \rightarrow R^1(f_1)_*\mathcal{L}_1 \xrightarrow{a} V^*R^1f_*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow R^1(f_1)_*(B_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1) \rightarrow 0$$

(the two first terms cancel). We want to show that a is generically invertible.

To start with, consider a minimal resolution of $Rf_*\mathcal{L}$ in the neighborhood of the origin. It has the form

$$\mathcal{O}_{J,0} \xrightarrow{u'} \mathcal{O}_{J,0}^g$$

where $u'(1) = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ is a system of parameters around 0. Indeed, this last statement is the same as saying, if u is the transpose of u' , that the image of u is the maximal ideal of the regular local ring $\mathcal{O}_{J,0}$, and this is easy because $\{0\}$ is the largest closed subscheme of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{J,0}$ on which \mathcal{L} is trivial.

Now apply what we have just proven to J_1 on the one hand, and to J on the other, but pulling back by V , we find the following resolution for the arrow a in triangle (4):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{a_0} & R \\
 u' \downarrow & & \downarrow v' \\
 R^g & \xrightarrow{a_1} & R^g
 \end{array} \tag{5}$$

where we have written $R = \mathcal{O}_{J_1,0}$, and where $u'(1) = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ is a system of parameters of J_1 around 0 and $v'(1) = (y_1, \dots, y_g)$ is a regular sequence that gives an equation of $\ker V$ around 0. Now of course a_0 is just an element of R , and it is invertible because modulo the maximal ideal of R (that is, *at* the origin) the arrow a is just the identity on k . So we can assume that a_0 is the identity. What we want to prove is that $\det a_1$ is not zero (of course, it is invertible precisely when the curve is ordinary).

Consider the diagram (5) and its transpose (i.e. its image by the functor $\text{Hom}_R(\cdot, R)$), and complete them both by adding the Koszul complex on either column. That is, consider the diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
R & \xrightarrow{1} & R \\
\downarrow u' & & \downarrow v' \\
R^g & \xrightarrow{a_1} & R^g \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\wedge^g R^g & \xrightarrow{\det a_1} & \wedge^g R^g \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M' & \xrightarrow{h'} & N' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\wedge^g R^g & \xrightarrow{\det a_1} & \wedge^g R^g \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
R^g & \xrightarrow{a_1^\vee} & R^g \\
\downarrow v & & \downarrow u \\
R & \xrightarrow{1} & R \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
N & \xrightarrow{h} & M \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

in which we have written u , v and a_1^\vee for the transposes of u' , v' and a_1 respectively and M and N for the cokernels of u and v respectively. Since $u'(1)$ and $v'(1)$ are regular sequences, M and N are modules of finite length, and the Koszul complex is a resolution of them: the columns of both diagram are exact. We have $M' = \text{Ext}_R^g(M, R)$ and $N' = \text{Ext}_R^g(N, R)$ (since we have taken a resolution, transposed it, and shifted in g degrees). But since the Koszul complex is autodual (that is, the left column of the right diagram is the same as the right column of the left diagram, and *vice versa*), M and M' are the same and so are N and N' . Finally, it is known that (R being a regular local ring) the functor $\text{Ext}_R^g(\cdot, R)$ is dualizing on modules of finite length. Now h is surjective as is seen on the diagram on the right, so that its image h' by the functor in question is injective. Hence $\det a_1$ is nonzero, what we wanted.

We can be more precise than this. As we have seen, M is isomorphic to k , and N to the local ring of $\ker V$ at 0: the support of θ_{B_1} swallows everything in $\ker V$ around the origin but just one k . (Incidentally, X is ordinary if and only if the support of θ_{B_1} does not contain the origin, so we recover the known fact that X is ordinary if and only if the local ring of $\ker V$ at the origin is k , i.e. V is étale.)

4 Constructing a non ordinary cover

We now present another result of M. Raynaud's ([2]), namely the fact that a finite étale cover of an ordinary curve is not necessarily ordinary, even when the base curve is generic. In fact, we obtain a cover $Y \twoheadrightarrow X$ such that the image of the map $J(X_1) \twoheadrightarrow J(Y_1)$ on the jacobians is completely contained in the support of the theta divisor of B_1 on Y_1 — and in particular 0 is, so that Y is not ordinary. The construction is sufficiently general to apply to the generic curve (for a given genus $g \geq 2$ and characteristic p). We also get estimations on the Galois group of Y over X ; a theorem of Nakajima states that an abelian cover of the generic curve is ordinary, so we have to work with non abelian groups if we want a non ordinary cover — however, we will see that a nilpotent group can suffice.

We start with a few generalities on representations of the fundamental group of curves. We refer to [2] for details. If $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL(r, k)$ is a representation of the fundamental group of X in k -vector spaces of rank r , and ρ has open kernel (or, which amounts to the same, ρ is continuous and has finite image), then ρ defines a locally constant étale sheaf in k -vector spaces of rank r on X , written \mathbb{V}_ρ (very succinctly, \mathbb{V}_ρ can be obtained as follows: find a Galois cover $Y \twoheadrightarrow X$ whose Galois group factors through the kernel of ρ , then make $\pi_1(X)/\ker \rho$ act on $Y \times k^r$ componentwise, and take the fixed points of that action). Tensoring \mathbb{V}_ρ by \mathcal{O}_X gives a Zarisky sheaf V_ρ which is locally free of rank r and has degree 0, i.e. a vector bundle of rank r and slope 0 on X . Among the functoriality properties of V_ρ cited in [2], we will need the fact that if $Y \xrightarrow{a} X$ is finite étale and ρ is a representation of $\pi_1(Y)$ as above then a_*V_ρ is precisely $V_{\rho'}$, where ρ' is the representation of $\pi_1(X)$ induced by ρ .

We say that a representation ρ as above has a theta divisor (respectively, is ordinary) if and only if the sheaf $V_{1,\rho} \otimes B_1$ on X_1 has a theta divisor (respectively, has a theta divisor that does not go through the origin), $V_{1,\rho}$ being the bundle V_ρ as above constructed on X_1 . Thus, we have seen that the trivial representation has a theta divisor, and it is ordinary precisely when the curve X is ordinary. The existence of a theta divisor for B shows that if L is a general invertible sheaf of finite order n prime to p then the representation ρ of rank 1 associated to it is ordinary.

Theorem 4.1. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let X be the generic curve of any genus $g \geq 2$ over $\text{Spec } k$. Then there*

exists a Galois cover of X with solvable Galois group of order prime to p that is not ordinary.

Let X be as stated, and let J be its jacobian. If we choose a base point on X then we get a map $S^{g-1}X \rightarrow J$ from the $(g-1)$ -th symmetric power of X , whose image defines a positive divisor on J , called the *classical theta divisor*, and written Θ . Let $N = \mathcal{O}_J(\Theta)$ be the invertible sheaf defined by Θ . We can assume that N is symmetric (i.e. that if $\iota: J \rightarrow J$ is the inverse map then $\iota^*N = N$) and we will do so.

Let n be a positive integer that is prime to p , and denote by α the multiplication by n map on J , which is étale of degree n^{2g} . Call A the kernel of α , the (étale) set of points of J whose order divides n . Because we have chosen N symmetric, we have $\alpha^*N = N^{\otimes n^2}$.

We recall (cf. [3]) that the kernel $H(N^{\otimes n})$ is the subgroup of closed x in J such that $T_x^*N^{\otimes n} \cong N^{\otimes n}$ (where T_x denotes translation by x). This kernel is obviously A . Now in [3], D. Mumford defines another, more interesting, group associated to an invertible sheaf on an abelian variety. In our case, it is the group

$$\mathcal{G}(N^{\otimes n}) = \{(x, \varphi) \mid \varphi: N^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_x^*N^{\otimes n}\}$$

with multiplication defined in the obvious way. There is a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow k^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(N^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow H(N^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow 1$$

and in fact k^\times is precisely the center of $\mathcal{G}(N^{\otimes n})$. The commutator of two elements of $\mathcal{G}(N^{\otimes n})$ is an element of k^\times and it depends only on the class in $H(N^{\otimes n})$ of the two elements. Thus, the commutator defines a skew-symmetric biadditive form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: A \times A \rightarrow k^\times$. It is moreover shown in [3] that this form is non degenerate.

Let B a maximal totally isotropic subgroup of A for the form we have just defined. So B has order n^g , and $C = A/B$ has order n^g . We factor α as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J & & \\ \alpha \downarrow & \searrow \beta & \\ & & J' = J/B \\ & \swarrow \gamma & \\ & & J \end{array}$$

where β has kernel B and γ has kernel (identified with) C .

Because B is isotropic, by a result in [3], the sheaf $N^{\otimes n}$ descends to an invertible sheaf M on J' , i.e. a sheaf such that $N^{\otimes n} = \beta^*M$. And M is a principal polarization on J' . Now note that γ^*N and $M^{\otimes n}$ have the same pullback (namely $N^{\otimes n^2}$) by β ; if n is odd we can choose M to be symmetric, so that γ^*N and $M^{\otimes n}$ coincide. We will now suppose this to be the case.

If L is an invertible sheaf on J that is algebraically equivalent to 0 (that is, a closed point of J^\vee) then we have $\gamma_*(M \otimes \gamma^*L) \cong (\gamma_*M) \otimes L$, so that $h^0(J', M \otimes \gamma^*L) = h^0(J, (\gamma_*M) \otimes L)$. Now the point is that $M \otimes \gamma^*L$ is a principal polarization on J , so this number is 1. In particular $h^0(J, (\gamma_*M) \otimes L) > 0$, and this implies that for any invertible sheaf L of degree 0 on X we have $h^0(X, F \otimes L) > 0$, where F is the restriction of γ_*M to X . This is a good first step, but we need to twist F by an invertible sheaf having the right degree to compensate for the slope of F (since the sheaves V_ρ have slope zero).

We now calculate the slope of F . Its rank is n^g . Introduce the curves Y and Z that are inverse image of X by γ and α respectively, thus:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Z & & \\
 \alpha \downarrow & \searrow \beta & \\
 & & Y \\
 & \swarrow \gamma & \\
 X & &
 \end{array}$$

The degree of N restricted to X is well-known: it is g . Pulling this back by α , we see that the degree of $N^{\otimes n^2}$ restricted to Z is gn^{2g} , and that of $N^{\otimes n}|_Z$ is gn^{2g-1} . Descending to Y , we see that the degree of $M|_Y$ is gn^{g-1} . So the slope of F is finally g/n .

Now assume that g divides n , i.e. that $g/n = d$, the slope of F , is an integer. The degree of $N|_X$ is $g = nd$, so there exists an invertible sheaf P of degree d on X such that $N|_X = P^{\otimes n}$. Let $L' = (M|_Y) \otimes \gamma^*P^{\otimes -1}$, which is an invertible sheaf of degree zero. Its inverse image $L'' = \beta^*L'$ is such that $L''^{\otimes n}$ is trivial on Z , so that the order of L'' divides n (in fact, it is exactly n , but we won't need this). If $E = \gamma_*L'$ then $E = F \otimes P^{\otimes -1}$, which is an invertible sheaf of degree 0 on X and satisfies $h^0(X, E \otimes L_{d,\text{gen}}) > 0$ for a general invertible sheaf $L_{d,\text{gen}}$ of degree d on X .

Now L'' is of order dividing n , so there is a cyclic covering of degree n $Z'' \rightarrow Z$ which trivializes it. It is Z'' that we will prove not to be

ordinary (under certain numerical conditions at least). The invertible sheaf L' of degree 0 corresponds to an abelian representation of $\pi_1(Y)$ that factors through $\pi_1(Z'')$, and when we induce that representation to $\pi_1(X)$ we see that $E = \gamma_* L'$ is of the form V_ρ for some representation ρ of $\pi_1(X)$ that factors through $\pi_1(Z'')$ (and which can actually be described: see [2]).

All these constructions were performed on X and J . They could equally well have been performed on X_1 and J_1 . We now consider E as a sheaf of X_1 . We have seen $h^0(X, E \otimes L_{d,\text{gen}}) > 0$ and we wish to have $h^0(X, E \otimes B_1 \otimes L_{\text{gen}}) > 0$. We are therefore done if we can show that B_1 contains an invertible subsheaf of degree d .

But A. Hirschowitz claims in [4] and proves in [5] that a general bundle of rank r_0 and slope λ_0 contains a subbundle of rank r' and slope λ' (the quotient having rank $r'' = r_0 - r'$ and slope λ'') if $\lambda'' - \lambda' \geq g - 1$. If we are looking for $r' = 1$ and $\lambda' = d$, with $r_0 = p - 1$ and $\lambda_0 = g - 1$ (the numerical values of B_1), so $r'' = p - 2$ and $\lambda'' = [(g - 1)(p - 1) - d]/(p - 2)$, this condition is satisfied iff $(g - 1 - d)(p - 1)/(p - 2) \geq g - 1$, that is iff $d \leq \frac{g-1}{p-1}$. By deforming and specializing to B_1 , we see that if this inequality is satisfied then B_1 contains an invertible sheaf of degree d .

Finally, we have shown that if p and g are such that there exists a positive odd integer n , prime to p , dividing g , and satisfying $\frac{g}{n} \leq \frac{g-1}{p-1}$ then the generic curve X of genus g in characteristic p has a covering that is not ordinary. This is not always the case, but we can always reduce to that case by first taking a cyclic cover X' of degree m prime to p of X (X' then has genus $g' = 1 + m(g - 1)$), and apply the result to X' . Here are the details:

- If p is odd, take m even, not multiple of p and large enough so that $g' = 1 + m(g - 1) \geq p$.
 - If p does not divide g' , then $n = g'$ works (it is odd because m is even, it is prime to p , and $\frac{g'}{n} = 1 \leq \frac{g'-1}{p-1}$ because $g' \geq p$).
 - If p does divide g' then we double m and this is no longer the case, so we are reduced to the previous point.
- If $p = 2$, write $g = 2^r s$ with s odd.
 - If $s \geq 3$, take $m = 1$, $n = s$. (Then n is odd, and $\frac{g}{n} = 2^r \leq g - 1$.)
 - If $s = 1$ then $g = 2^r$.
 - * If $r \geq 2$, take $m = 3$, $n = g'/2 = 3 \times 2^{r-1} - 1$. (Then n is odd, and $\frac{g'}{n} = 2 \leq g' - 1$.)

* If $r = 1$ so $g = 2$ and we take $m = 5$, $g' = 6$, $n = 3$.

Finally, we note that our final covering was constructed as a composite $Z'' \twoheadrightarrow Y \twoheadrightarrow X' \twoheadrightarrow X$ of coverings all of which are abelian: it is therefore solvable.

References

- [1] M. Raynaud, “Sections des fibrés vectoriels sur une courbe”, *Bull. Soc. math. France*, **110** (1982), p. 103–125.
- [2] M. Raynaud, “Revêtements des courbes en caractéristique $p > 0$ et ordinarité”, forthcoming.
- [3] D. Mumford, “On the Equations Defining Abelian Varieties, I”, *Inventiones mathematicæ*, **1** (1966), p. 287–354.
- [4] A. Hirschowitz, “Problèmes de Brill-Noether en rang supérieur”, *C. R. Acad. Sci*, **307** (1988), p. 153–156.
- [5] A. Hirschowitz, “Problèmes de Brill-Noether en rang supérieur”, <http://math.unice.fr/~ah/Brill/>, on the World Wide Web.

David Madore
c/o École Normale Supérieure de Paris
45 rue d’Ulm
75 230 PARIS CEDEX 05
FRANCE
david.madore@ens.fr