















Spectral measure

Given a function $\gamma: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$, does there exist a weakly stationary process $(X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with autocovariance γ ?

Herglotz Theorem

0000000

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$. Then the two following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) γ is hermitian symmetric and non-negative definite.
- (ii) There exists a finite non-negative measure $\pmb{\nu}$ on $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ such that,

for all
$$t \in \mathbb{Z}$$
, $\gamma(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{i\lambda t} \nu(d\lambda)$. (2)

When these two assertions hold, ν is uniquely defined by (2).

Examples

Preliminaries Weakly stationary processes Random fields with orthogonal increments Linear filtering in the spectral domain

- ▷ Let $X \sim WN(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Then $f(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi}$.
- ▷ Let X be a weakly stationary process with covariance function γ /spectral measure ν . Define

$$Y = \sum_k \psi_k \operatorname{B}^k \circ X$$

for a finitely supported sequence $\psi.$ Recall that Y is a weakly stationary process with covariance function

$$\gamma'(\tau) = \sum_{\ell,k} \psi_k \overline{\psi_\ell} \gamma(\tau + \ell - k)$$

Then Y is a weakly stationary process with spectral measure ν' having density $\lambda \mapsto \left|\sum_k \psi_k \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda k}\right|^2$ with respect to ν ,

$$\mathbf{\nu}'(\mathrm{d}\lambda) = \left|\sum_{k} \psi_k \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda k}\right|^2 \, \mathbf{\nu}(\mathrm{d}\lambda)$$

| Preliminaries | Weakly stationary processes | Random fields with orthogonal increments | Linear filtering in the spectral domain |
|---------------|-----------------------------|--|---|
| | | | |
| 000 | 000000 | 000 | 00 |
| | 000000 | 000 | |

Spectral density

If moreover $\gamma \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, these assertions are equivalent to

$$f(\lambda) := rac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda t} \gamma(t) \ge 0 ext{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \; ,$$

and ν has density f (that is, $\nu(d\lambda) = f(\lambda)d\lambda$).

0000000

Definition : spectral measure and spectral density

If γ is the autocovariance of a weakly stationary process X, the corresponding measure ν is called the spectral measure of X. Whenever the spectral measure ν admits a density f, it is called the spectral density function.

A special one : the harmonic process

Preliminaries Weakly stationary processes Random fields with orthogonal increments Linear filtering in the spectral domain

Let $(A_k)_{1 \le k \le N}$ be N real valued L^2 random variables. Denote $\sigma_k^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[A_k^2 \right]$. Let $(\Phi_k)_{1 \le k \le N}$ be N i.i.d. random variables with a uniform distribution on $[0, 2\pi]$, and independent of $(A_k)_{1 \le k \le N}$. Define

$$X_t = \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_k \cos(\lambda_k t + \Phi_k) , \qquad (3)$$

where $(\lambda_k)_{1 \le k \le N} \in [-\pi, \pi]$ are N frequencies. The process (X_t) is called a harmonic process. It satisfies $\mathbb{E}[X_t] = 0$ and, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_s X_t\right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^2 \cos(\lambda_k (s-t)) \ .$$

Hence X is weakly stationary with autocovariance

$$\gamma(t) = rac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^2 \cos(\lambda_k t) \; .$$

Spectral representation of the harmonic process We deduce that X has spectral measure

Preliminaries Weakly stationary processes Random fields with orthogonal increments Linear filtering in the spectral domain

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k}^{2} \left(\delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k}} + \delta_{-\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k}} \right) \;,$$

where we denote by δ_{λ} the Dirac mass at point λ .

Similarly, we can write

00000000

$$\begin{split} X_t &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(A_k \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\Phi_k} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k t} + A_k \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\Phi_k} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda_k t} \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda t} \, \mathrm{d}W(\lambda) \; , \end{split}$$

where W is the random (complex valued) measure

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(A_k \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\Phi_k} \,\delta_{\lambda_k} + A_k \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\Phi_k} \,\delta_{-\lambda_k} \right)$$

 Preliminaries
 Weakly stationary processes
 Random fields with orthogonal increments
 Linear filtering in the spectral domain

 000000000
 000
 000
 000
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00<

Why is it useful?

Recall the backshift operator $B: (x_t)_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\mapsto (x_{t-1})_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}.$ Observe that from

$$X_t = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{i\lambda t} d\widehat{X}(\lambda), \qquad t \in \mathbb{Z} ,$$

we get that

$$(\mathbf{B} X)_t = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda t} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda} \mathrm{d}\widehat{X}(\lambda) \Rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{dB}(X)}(\lambda) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda} \, \mathrm{d}\widehat{X}(\lambda) \; .$$

More generally, if $g = \sum_k \alpha_k B^k$ for some finitely supported sequence $(\alpha_t)_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$, we get

$$\widehat{\mathrm{d}g(X)}(\lambda) = \widehat{g}(\lambda) \,\mathrm{d}\widehat{X}(\lambda) \quad \text{with} \quad \widehat{g}(\lambda) = \sum_k \alpha_k \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda k} \;.$$

This will allow us to come up with linear operators g directly described by the function \hat{g} (under quite general conditions).

Spectral representation One can interpret the relation between X and W as saying that W is the Fourier transform of X, so we denote it by \hat{X} :

Preliminaries Weakly stationary processes Random fields with orthogonal increments Linear filtering in the spectral domai

$$X_t = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{i\lambda t} d\widehat{X}(\lambda), \qquad t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

This spectral representation of X can be extended to any weakly stationary processes with some remarkable properties on \widehat{X} .

But some work is necessary.

- ▷ The paths of X are random sequences, usually unbounded (no decay at infinity can be used!) so $d\hat{X}$ cannot be in the "nice" form $\hat{X}(\lambda)d\lambda$.
- ▷ Instead \widehat{X} always is a random measure defined on $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$.
- > For the same reason, there is no simple formula for defining \widehat{X} from X : we rely on Hilbert geometry.

| Preliminaries | Weakly stationary processes | Random fields with orthogonal increments | Linear filtering in the spectral domain |
|---------------|-----------------------------|--|---|
| 0000000000 | | 000 | |
| 000 | 000000 | 000 | 00 |
| | 0000000 | 000 | |

Random fields with orthogonal increments

In the following we let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ be a measurable space.

Definition : Random fields with orthogonal increments

Let η be a finite non-negative measure on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$. Let $W = (W(A))_{A \in \mathcal{X}}$ be an L^2 process indexed by \mathcal{X} . It is called a random field with orthogonal increments and intensity measure η if it satisfies the following conditions.

(i) For all $A \in \mathcal{X}$, $\mathbb{E}[W(A)] = 0$. (ii) For all $A, B \in \mathcal{X}$, $Cov(W(A), W(B)) = \eta(A \cap B)$.

Consequence

For all $A, B \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, W(A) and W(B) are uncorrelated and $W(A \cup B) = W(A) + W(B)$.



intensity measure η on $(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}))$.

η.



and $(Y_t)_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is centered, weakly stationary with spectral measure

Preliminaries Weakly stationary processes Random fields with orthogonal increments Linear filtering in the spectral domain

where ${m g}\in L^2(\mathbb{T},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}),{m
u}).$ We shall denote

$$Y = \widehat{\mathbf{F}}_g(X)$$

Spectral representation of filtered white noise

Note that by construction, the process $(Y_t)_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ belongs to \mathcal{H}^X_{∞} . Using the spectral representation of X, we have that, for all $t\in\mathbb{Z}$,

$${Y}_t = \int \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda t} \; oldsymbol{\psi}^*(\lambda) \; \mathrm{d}\widehat{X}(\lambda) \; .$$

Here the unitary property corresponds to Parseval's identity : $\psi^*:\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{C}$ is such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\boldsymbol{\psi}^*|^2 = 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_k|^2 < \infty \; .$$

How to generalize this to any process X?

General linear time-invariant filtering (cont.)

Observe that, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathrm{Cov}\left({Y}_{s},{Y}_{t}
ight) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda(s-t)} \left|g(\lambda)
ight|^{2} \mathrm{d}oldsymbol{
u}(\lambda) \ .$$

Hence $Y = \widehat{F}_g(X)$ is a centered weakly stationary process and its spectral measure has density $|g|^2$ with respect to ν , the spectral measure of X.