

# Halley Chebyshev Challenge!

Robert Mansel Gower \*

November 26, 2014

This is two part challenge surrounding the Halley-Chebyshev methods and a new approach for implementing these methods found in HalleyChebyAD (<http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~s1065527/>). Complete either part, and I will offer you co-authorship on a related article!

## 1 Challenge I: Empirical evidence using Automatic Derivatives

Halley's method has been around, and repeatedly rediscovered, since the astronomer Edmond Halley (the same as the infamous comet) conceived it in the 17th century [3]. Despite the methods long history and continued research, it lacks a fundamental credential: Empirical evidence of its effectiveness. With the package HalleyChebyAD it is now possible to run large sets of tests. The challenge is,

*Through theory (to follow) and empirical tests (using HalleyChebyAD), encounter a class of function for which the Halley-Chebyshev methods can be tuned to outperform Newton's method. Alternatively, show that the Halley-Chebyshev method does not significantly outperform Newton's method.*

It's a win-win situation! Either a sufficiently negative or positive result is interesting. Now for the theoretical details. From a current iterate  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and a sufficiently differentiable objective function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the Halley's method encounters a next point  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by solving  $HA(x^*, x) = 0$  where

$$HA(x^*, x) := \nabla f(x) + \left( \nabla^2 f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^3 f(x) \cdot n(x) \right) \cdot (x^* - x),$$

where  $n(x) = -(\nabla^2 f(x))^{-1} \nabla f(x)$  is the Newton direction. The matrix  $\nabla^3 f(x) \cdot n(x)$  is a contraction of a third-order tensor with a vector. Previously, this has been calculated by obtaining the entire three dimensional tensor, then efficiently contracting with  $n(x)$ . In the HalleyChebyAD package, instead, just the directional derivative

$$\frac{d}{dt} \nabla^2 f(x + tn(x)) \Big|_0 = \nabla^3 f(x) \cdot n(x)$$

is calculated. This can be calculated as efficiently as the derivative  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  [1].

In the 90's, Gutierrez and Hernandez extend Halley's method to a one parameter family of methods known as Halley-Chebyshev methods [2]. This is done by a convex combination of Halley's method and the

---

\*contact: University of Edinburgh [gowerrobert@gmail.com](mailto:gowerrobert@gmail.com)

Chebyshev step implicitly defined by  $CH(x^*, x) = 0$  where

$$CH(x^*, x) := \nabla f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^3 f(x) \cdot (n(x), n(x)) + (\nabla^2 f(x) \cdot (x^* - x)).$$

The resulting combined method, for  $\lambda(x) \in [0, 1]$  is

$$\lambda(x)CH(x^*, x) + (1 - \lambda(x))HA(x^*, x) = 0.$$

Note that  $\lambda(x)$  is a function of  $x$ . Having a iteration dependent free paramter can be an advantage, but also a pain when you don't know what to do with it. Iteration dependent parameters have been used to great advantage by tuning a Halley type method for calculating the polar decomposition of a matrix [4]. So much so, this is now the preferred method for calculating the polar decompositions!

The free parameter is probably the key! There is function `void HalleyCheby::set_lambda(const double lambda_in);` ready to be altered within the HalleyChebyshev class in HalleyChebyAD.

## 2 Challenge II: Extending the Halley-Chebyshev family

This extension to allow  $\lambda(x)$  to be iterate dependent is only the beginning. In a private article, we have also extended the family to include *operator combinations*. Let  $\Theta \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ , then the following defines an order-3 locally convergent method

$$\begin{aligned} (I - \Theta(x)) \cdot CH(x^*, x) + \Theta(x) \cdot HA(x^*, x) \\ = \nabla f(x) + \left( \nabla^2 f(x) + \frac{\Theta(x)}{2} \nabla^3 f(x) \cdot n(x) \right) \cdot (x^* - x) + \frac{I - \Theta(x)}{2} \nabla^3 f(x) \cdot (n(x), n(x)) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The second challenge is simply

*What criteria should be used for selecting the operator  $\Theta(x)$ ?*

Preconditioning? Global progression? Trust region inspired? Many ways to approach the problem, but which one yields an efficient method. Efficient for a class of function or as a general purpose method.

## References

- [1] R. Gower and A. Gower. "Higher-order Reverse Automatic Differentiation with emphasis on the third-order." In: *Mathematical Programming* (2014), pp. 1–22.
- [2] J. M. Gutiérrez and M. Hernández. "A family of Chebyshev-Halley type methods in Banach spaces". In: *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 55.01 (Apr. 1997), p. 113.
- [3] E Halley. "Methodus nova, accurata et facilis inveniendi radices aequationum quarumcumque generaliter, sine praevia reductione". In: *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London* 18 (1694), pp. 136–148.
- [4] Y. Nakatsukasa and Z. Bai. "Optimizing Halley's Iteration for Computing The Matrix Polar Decomposition". In: *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 31.5 (2010), pp. 2700–2720.