

Master internship: Zero-Knowledge proofs based on hard lattice problems, and applications

Pierre-Alain Fouque*, Matthieu Rambaud†, and Weiqiang Wen†

*IRISA Rennes, *IUF, †@telecom-paris.fr

Mundane context. “Threshold cryptography” are mechanisms enabling a number n distrustful participants to obtain the output of some computation on secret data as soon as a threshold number of them participates, but reveals nothing otherwise. The most used one in the industry, called *threshold signatures*, enables n machines to produce a single signature on behalf of a client. Even if the adversary corrupts a threshold number of machines ($t < n$) and learns their shares of the client’s signing key, it will not be able to forge a valid signature. This technique is used by Coinbase (32Bn\$ market cap) to manage the crypto-wallets of more than 5M clients [Coi23], and also by Fireblocks (8Bn\$ market cap). Even this simple example uses a number of ingredients, each of them having many other applications. We list some of them, which have been recently called for standardization by the NIST (national institute of standards and technology) [NIS23b]. First, the pieces of the key are frequently re-sampled afresh (after every signing at Coinbase [Lin23], every minutes at Fireblocks [Fir21]), so that the old pieces are somehow useless to the adversary, but counter-intuitively the new pieces enable to sign under the *same key*. This provides a so-called *proactive security* level against a *mobile adversary*, which is encouraged by the NIST. Counter-intuitively, the key never appears in the clear, its pieces are instead collectively generated by the machines (DKG) [NIS23a], which eliminates any single point of failure. Counter-intuitively, each machine is able to prove to the others that it correctly generates [Lin23] and uses its piece of the key, without disclosing it. *Central to all previous ingredients are the so-called zero-knowledge proofs (NIZKs), they are also used everywhere in blockchains.* For example, NIZKs enable a large subset of users [Dai23] to prove collectively that they executed valid transactions with each other, without revealing the prices (the keywords are “ZK-rollups”). It is even possible to keep the prices confidential within this subset: the French startup Zama [Zam23] has issued a threshold (“*fully homomorphic*”) mechanism, adopted by some layers 2, enabling a group of n machines to match bids and offers without even seeing them. NIZKs of correct *threshold decryption* enable these machines to prove that the final price, which they collectively decrypt, is the correct one.

The goal of the internship is to tailor-make and simplify, for specific applications, NIZKs based on mathematical problems assumed resilient to quantum computers. Our favorite choice are NIZKs based on lattices (over number fields) [AL21; LNP22], since they have an easy integration into threshold decryption and signatures. The candidate may simply use them as black box, or optimize them with fancy algebra [CLM23; BF22], or even strengthen them based on recent advances in arithmetic [BGP22; DK22]. However, depending on the candidate’s taste, other applications can be considered, with possibly different NIZK systems, e.g. the popular and funny combination of codes and “MPC-in-the-head” [AGH+23].

References

- [AGH+23] C. Aguilar-Melchor, N. Gama, J. Howe, A. Hülsing, D. Joseph, and D. Yue. “The Return of the SDitH”. In: *Eurocrypt*. 2023.
- [AL21] M. R. Albrecht and R. W. F. Lai. “Subtractive Sets over Cyclotomic Rings: Limits of Schnorr-like Arguments over Lattices”. In: *CRYPTO*. 2021.
- [BF22] B. Bünz and B. Fisch. “Multilinear Schwartz-Zippel mod N with Applications to Succinct Arguments”. In: *TCC*. 2022.
- [BGP22] K. Boudgoust, E. Gachon, and A. Pellet-Mary. “Some Easy Instances of Ideal-SVP and Implications on the Partial Vandermonde Knapsack Problem”. In: *Advances in Cryptology – CRYPTO 2022*. 2022.

- [CLM23] V. Cini, R. W. F. Lai, and G. Malavolta. “Lattice-Based Succinct Arguments from Vanishing Polynomials - (Extended Abstract)”. In: *CRYPTO*. 2023.
- [Coi23] Coinbase. *Building user-focused web3 wallets at Coinbase*. link. 2023.
- [Dai23] W. Dai. *Navigating Privacy on Public Blockchains*. link. 2023.
- [DK22] S. Düzlü and J. Krämer. “Application of automorphic forms to lattice problems”. In: *J. Math. Cryptol.* (2022).
- [Fir21] Fireblocks. *MPC and key refresh*. link. 2021.
- [Lin23] Y. Lindell. *Cryptography and MPC in Coinbase Wallet as a Service (WaaS)*. link. 2023.
- [LNP22] V. Lyubashevsky, N. K. Nguyen, and M. Plancon. “Lattice-Based Zero-Knowledge Proofs and Applications: Shorter, Simpler, and More General”. In: *CRYPTO*. 2022.
- [NIS23a] NIST. *Compilation of Public Comments on NISTIR 8214C ipd*. link. 2023.
- [NIS23b] NIST. *First Call for Multi-Party Threshold Schemes*. link. 2023.
- [Zam23] Zama. *What is Zama’s fhEVM?* link. 2023.