The data set (and description) can be downloaded here: <a href="http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/iris/iris.data">http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/iris/iris.data</a>

## Description:

1. Title: Iris Plants Database Updated Sept 21 by C.Blake - Added discrepency information

2. Sources:

- (a) Creator: R.A. Fisher
- (b) Donor: Michael Marshall (MARSHALL%PLU@io.arc.nasa.gov)
- (c) Date: July, 1988

## 3. Past Usage:

- Publications: too many to mention!!! Here are a few.

- 1. Fisher,R.A. "The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems" Annual Eugenics, 7, Part II, 179-188 (1936); also in "Contributions to Mathematical Statistics" (John Wiley, NY, 1950).
- Duda,R.O., & Hart,P.E. (1973) Pattern Classification and Scene Analysis. (Q327.D83) John Wiley & Sons. ISBN 0-471-22361-1. See page 218.
- Dasarathy, B.V. (1980) "Nosing Around the Neighborhood: A New System Structure and Classification Rule for Recognition in Partially Exposed Environments". IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Vol. PAMI-2, No. 1, 67-71. -- Results:
  - -- very low misclassification rates (0% for the setosa class)
- 4. Gates, G.W. (1972) "The Reduced Nearest Neighbor Rule". IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, May 1972, 431-433. -- Results:
  - -- very low misclassification rates again
- 5. See also: 1988 MLC Proceedings, 54-64. Cheeseman et al's AUTOCLASS II conceptual clustering system finds 3 classes in the data.
- 4. Relevant Information:
  - --- This is perhaps the best known database to be found in the pattern recognition literature. Fisher's paper is a classic in the field and is referenced frequently to this day. (See Duda & Hart, for example.) The data set contains 3 classes of 50 instances each, where each class refers to a type of iris plant. One class is linearly separable from the other 2; the latter are NOT linearly separable from each other.
  - --- Predicted attribute: class of iris plant.

--- This is an exceedingly simple domain.

--- This data differs from the data presented in Fishers article (identified by Steve Chadwick, spchadwick@espeedaz.net) The 35th sample should be: 4.9,3.1,1.5,0.2,"Iris-setosa" where the error is in the fourth feature. The 38th sample: 4.9,3.6,1.4,0.1,"Iris-setosa" where the errors are in the second and third features.

where the errors are in the second and third reatures.

5. Number of Instances: 150 (50 in each of three classes)

6. Number of Attributes: 4 numeric, predictive attributes and the class

```
7. Attribute Information:
   1. sepal length in cm
  2. sepal width in cm
   3. petal length in cm
   4. petal width in cm
   5. class:
      -- Iris Setosa
      -- Iris Versicolour
      -- Iris Virginica
8. Missing Attribute Values: None
Summary Statistics:
         Min Max
                   Mean
                            SD
                                 Class Correlation
   sepal length: 4.3 7.9
                            5.84
                                 0.83
                                          0.7826
    sepal width: 2.0 4.4
                            3.05 0.43
                                         -0.4194
                            3.76 1.76
  petal length: 1.0 6.9
                                          0.9490
                                                  (high!)
    petal width: 0.1 2.5
                            1.20 0.76
                                          0.9565
                                                  (high!)
```

9. Class Distribution: 33.3% for each of 3 classes.

Citation Request:

Please refer to the repository <u>http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml</u> (see citation policy). See also Frank, A. & Asuncion, A. (2010). UCI Machine Learning Repository [http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml]. Irvine, CA: University of California, School of Information and Computer Science.

**Descriptive statistics:** 

```
Dataset= iris_versicolorvsvirginica : n= 100 , d= 4
Class1: n = 50
Covariance matrix:
       [,1]
              [,2]
                       [,3]
                              [,4]
[1,] 0.2664 0.0852 0.1829 0.0558
[2,] 0.0852 0.0985 0.0827 0.0412
[3,] 0.1829 0.0827 0.2208 0.0731
[4,] 0.0558 0.0412 0.0731 0.0391
Correlation matrix:
                       [,3]
       [,1]
              [,2]
                              [,4]
[1,] 1.0000 0.5259 0.7540 0.5465
[2,] 0.5259 1.0000 0.5605 0.6640
[3,] 0.7540 0.5605 1.0000 0.7867
[4,] 0.5465 0.6640 0.7867 1.0000
                  5.9113 2.7996 4.2731 1.3255
Median:
                  5.936 2.77
                                 4.26
                                         1.326
Mean:
MCD-estimated:
                  5.9146 2.8098 4.2268 1.3073
MDC-0.975-Mean:
                  5.9205 2.8154 4.2026 1.3051
MDC-0.750-Mean:
                  5.9146 2.8098 4.2268 1.3073
MDC-0.500-Mean:
```

Class2: n = 50Covariance matrix: [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [1,] 0.4043 0.0938 0.3033 0.0491 [2,] 0.0938 0.1040 0.0714 0.0476 [3,] 0.3033 0.0714 0.3046 0.0488 [4,] 0.0491 0.0476 0.0488 0.0754 Correlation matrix: [,3] [,1][,2] [,4] [1,] 1.0000 0.4572 0.8642 0.2811 [2,] 0.4572 1.0000 0.4010 0.5377 [3,] 0.8642 0.4010 1.0000 0.3221 [4,] 0.2811 0.5377 0.3221 1.0000 Median: 6.5421 2.9864 5.4953 2.0428 6.588 2.974 5.552 Mean: 2.026 MCD-estimated: MDC-0.975-Mean: 6.4622 2.9489 5.4289 2.0156 MDC-0.750-Mean: 6.4622 2.9489 5.4289 2.0156 6.4256 2.9488 5.4 MDC-0.500-Mean: 2.0302 Measures: Mah.Dist: 3.7708 Mah.Dist-MCD-0.975: 4.1579 Mah.Dist-MCD-0.750: 4.0015 Mah.Dist-MCD-0.500: 4.1055

All the MCD estimates have been obtained after a slight perturbation of the data set





