Quiz 2.1: hand-over-hand

- Check if contains requires locking
 - √What if contains traversed the list without lock acquisition?
- What if traverse (in remove, insert) checks the value in curr before locking it (only holds lock on pred when traverse terminates)?
- Can we just use one lock at a time?
- Prove starvation-freedom (assuming starvation-free locks)
 - ✓ Can an operation be blocked (delayed forever) by infinitely many concurrent inserts?

18

Quiz 2.2: optimistic

- Show that validation is necessary for updates
 - ✓ Hint: consider an algorithm without validation and show that an update can get lost because of a series of concurrent removes
- Is validation necessary for contains?
- Show that the algorithm is not starvation-free (even if all locks are)

Quiz 2.3: lazy

Show that both conditions in the validation check are necessary

Hint: consider concurrent removes on two consecutive nodes, or a remove concurrent to an insert of a preceding node

- Is the check !curr.marked necessary in contains?
- Determine linearization points for all operations:
 - √ insert(successful or not)
 - √ remove (successful or not)
 - ✓ contains (successful or not)

Hint: for an unsuccessful contains(x), linearization point may vary depending on the presence of a concurrent insert(x)

25