# Exam for "Systèmes Digitaux" course 

Tuesday January 12, 2021


#### Abstract

This exam is made up of two problems. It is better to answer to some of them in depth than all of them superficially.

The exam duration is 4 hours. The maximum number of pages is 6 . You cannot use class material.


## 1 Barrett modular multiplication

### 1.1 Modular mathematics

Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be (relative) integers, and $\mathbb{N}$ be the positive integers $(\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\})$.
Let a particular $M \in \mathbb{N}, M>2$. For a given $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the following integer division result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=q M+r \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=\lfloor z / M\rfloor$ and $r=z \bmod M$.
Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the real numbers.
For a given $a \in \mathbb{R}$, one writes the floor function $a \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto\lfloor a\rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\lfloor a\rfloor+\{a\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{a\} \in[0,1[$.
We define the upper rounding of a real number $a$ as:

$$
\lceil a\rceil=\left\{\begin{aligned}
a & \text { if } a \in \mathbb{Z} \\
1+\lfloor a\rfloor & \text { if } a \notin \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Lemma 1. For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\lceil-a\rceil=-\lfloor a\rfloor .
$$

## Q1.1: Prove this lemma 1

Property 1 (Representation). Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The integer $i$ fits on (exactly) $k$ bits if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{k-1} \leq i \leq 2^{k}-1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Said differently,

$$
k=\left\lfloor\log _{2}(i)\right\rfloor+1 .
$$

Notice that the number of bits to write $i$ is not equal to $\left\lceil\log _{2}(i)\right\rceil$, because when $i$ is a power of $2\left(\right.$ e.g., $\left.i=2^{k}\right),\left\lceil\log _{2}(i)\right\rceil=k$ but $k+1$ bits are required to write $(100 \ldots 0)_{2}$.

### 1.2 Barrett modular multiplication

### 1.2.1 Principle

In all this section, we denote as $M \in \mathbb{N}, M>2$, a modulus.
Let $z \in \mathbb{N}$. The residue of $z$ modulo $M$ is:

$$
r=z \bmod M=z-\lfloor z / M\rfloor,
$$

according to (1).
Let $M \in \mathbb{N}, M>2$, and $k$ the number of bits to write it (namely, $k=$ $\left.\left\lfloor\log _{2}(M)\right\rfloor+1\right)$. We denote the integer reciprocal of $M$ the number $\mu$, defined by:

$$
\mu=\left\lfloor 2^{2 k} / M\right\rfloor
$$

When $z$ satisfies $0 \leq z<M^{2}$, one can approximate the quotient $q=\lfloor z / M\rfloor$ according to:

$$
\begin{align*}
q & =\lfloor z / M\rfloor \\
& =\left\lfloor\frac{\left(z / 2^{k-1}\right) \cdot\left(2^{2 k} / M\right)}{2^{k+1}}\right\rfloor \\
& \geq\left\lfloor\frac{\left\lfloor z / 2^{k-1}\right\rfloor \cdot\left\lfloor 2^{2 k} / M\right\rfloor}{2^{k+1}}\right\rfloor \quad \text { (as per Eqn. (2), } a=\lfloor a\rfloor+\{a\} \geq\lfloor a\rfloor \text { because }\{a\} \geq 0 \text { ) } \\
& =\left\lfloor\left\lfloor\frac{z}{2^{k-1}}\right\rfloor \frac{\mu}{2^{k+1}}\right\rfloor=\tilde{q} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

It appears that $\tilde{q}$ is not a bad approximation of $q$, and that it allows to avoid computing the division $z / M$. We detail in Sec. 1.2 .2 why the approximation is good, and show that the complexity of computing $\tilde{q}$ is limited in Sec. 1.2.3.

### 1.2.2 Barrett's result

Barrett's result is that
Proposition 1. Let $q$ and $\tilde{q}$ defined as per Eqn. (4). One has:

$$
q-\tilde{q} \in\{0,1,2\} .
$$

## Q1.2: Prove this proposition 1

### 1.2.3 Efficient computation of Barrett's multiplication

Presentation The Barrett multiplication is depicted in Alg. 1.

```
Algorithm 1: Barrett's multiplication
    static parameters:
        - A modulus \(M>2\) of length \(k=\left\lfloor\log _{2}(M)\right\rfloor+1\),
        - The integer reciprocal \(\mu=\left\lfloor 2^{2 k} / M\right\rfloor\).
    input \(\quad:\) Multiplier and multiplicand \(x, y\), such that \(0 \leq x, y<M\)
    output \(\quad:(x \cdot y) \bmod M\)
    \(z \leftarrow x \cdot y ;\)
    \(\tilde{q} \leftarrow\left\lfloor\left\lfloor z / 2^{k-1}\right\rfloor \mu / 2^{k+1}\right\rfloor ;\)
    \(r \leftarrow z-\tilde{q} \cdot M\);
    while \(r \geq M\) do // Executed 0, 1 or 2 times (see Prop. 1)
        \(r \leftarrow r-M\); // Extra-reduction
    return \(r\);
```

Variable data representation For implementation purposes, it is required to know how to representation the values manipulated in Alg. 1, in particular their bitwidth. The list below gathers these values:

- $M$ fits on $k$ bits (exactly),
- $\mu$ fits on $k+1$ bits (exactly),
- $\tilde{q}$ fits on $k$ bits (or less),
- r, at line 3 of Alg. 1, fits on $2 k$ bits (or less), since $z$ fits on $2 k$ bits and $\tilde{q} \cdot M$ also. But actually, we know more: $r$ is positive and it fits on $k+2$ bits.


## Q1.3: Prove these four results

Operation We intend to implement the Barrett modular multiplication in combinational logic. The operations in Barrett's multiplication algorithm are turned into hardware functions.

## Q1.4: Explain how to implement Line 1 of Alg. 1

## Q1.5: Same question with Line 2 of Alg. 1

Q1.6: Same question with Lines 4 and 5 of Alg. 1
In this question, a constant-time solution (combinational) is sought.

## 2 Constant-time computations

We consider a processor with $n$-bit registers. The data representation is in "two's complementary", meaning that: The processor is equipped with Boolean and arithmetic operations on data $a, b$ :

- 0 is represented as $(00000000)_{2}$ (when $\left.n=8\right)$, and
- 255 is represented as $(11111111)_{2}($ when $n=8)$.
- $a+b$, which returns $a+b \bmod 2^{n}$;
- $a-b$, which returns $a-b \bmod 2^{n}$;
- $a \& b$, which returns the bit-wise AND between $a$ and $b$, seen as vector of $n$ bits;
- $a \quad \mid b$, which returns the bit-wise OR between $a$ and $b$, seen as vector of $n$ bits;
- $a^{\wedge} b$, which returns the bit-wise XOR between $a$ and $b$, seen as vector of $n$ bits;
- $\sim a$, which returns the one's complement of $a$, namely $\left(\neg a_{n-1} \neg a_{n-2} \ldots \neg a_{0}\right)_{2}$ where $a=\left(a_{n-1} a_{n-2} \ldots a_{0}\right)_{2}$ in binary form.

The processor is also endowed with classical ITE (If-Then-Else) conditional tests. The "Then" branch is taken if the tested value is nonzero, otherwise the "Else" branch is taken.

Condition $a==b$ (resp. $a!=b$ ) yields 0 or 1 , depending whether $a$ and $b$ are equal or not (resp. are different or not).

```
Algorithm 2: Operation 1
    input : \(a, b, s\)
    output: \(c\)
    if \(s==0\) then
        \(c \leftarrow a ;\)
    else
            \(c \leftarrow b ;\)
    return \(c\);
```

```
Algorithm 3: Operation 2
    input : \(a, b, s\)
    output: \(c\)
    \(1 m \leftarrow-(s!=0)\);
    \(c \leftarrow(a \& m) \mid(b \& \sim m)\);
    return \(c\);
```

Q2.1: Compare the two algorithms 2 and 3, in terms of functionality

Q2.2: Discuss which algorithm is the fastest.
Q2.3: Alg. 3 is said "constant-time", whereas Alg. 2 is not. Could you explain a property of the execution flow which justifies this naming?
Q2.4: Propose a "non constant-time" version of Alg. 4 which is faster, in average (assuming the value of $s$ has the same probability to zero and to be nonzero)

```
Algorithm 4: Operation 3
    input : \(a, b, s\)
    output: \(c\)
    \(1 m \leftarrow-(s!=0)\);
    \(2 t \leftarrow m \&\left(a^{\wedge} b\right)\);
    з \(c \leftarrow a^{\wedge} t\);
    4 return \(c\);
```

