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Real-Time Systems

- Traditionally consist of
  - A set of tasks $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n$
  - Perform computations within a given time budget (Worst-Case Execution Time aka. WCET)
  - Need to respect deadlines
  - Often need to execute periodically

- Observation:

  **Tasks rarely use their full time budget**

  $\Rightarrow$ Resources (CPU, memory, ...) are under-utilized
Mixed-Criticality Systems

• Basic idea:
  • Divide tasks into critical and non-critical tasks
  • Strict timing guarantees only for critical tasks

Improve resource utilization by executing non-critical tasks as long as critical tasks meet their deadlines
Mixed-Criticality Systems

• Basic idea:
  • Divide tasks into critical and non-critical tasks
  • Strict timing guarantees only for critical tasks

Improve resource utilization by executing non-critical tasks as long as critical tasks meet their deadlines

• Issues:
  • Only consider CPU-time at the granularity of tasks
  • Complex interaction among critical & non-critical tasks
Motivation

Improve resource utilization at a finer level of granularity while providing guarantees on the tasks’ interactions.

Here: accesses to shared memory in multi-core architectures
System Model

Somewhat simplified model (for now):

- Assume a multi-core architecture with shared memory
- Each core executes a single task (critical or non-critical)
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{No task scheduling needed} \]
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{Cores are critical/non-critical} \]
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{Cores emit critical/non-critical memory requests} \]
- Memory arbitration
  - Time-Division Multiplexing (TDM)
  - Dedicated slot for critical cores
  - No slots for non-critical cores
Example: **Strict Time-Division Multiplexing**

Critical tasks T1 and T2 with TDM slots A and B respectively as well as non-critical tasks t3 and t4.
Critical tasks T1 and T2 with TDM slots A and B respectively as well as non-critical tasks t3 and t4.

Rows: different tasks as they execute
Example: **Strict Time-Division Multiplexing**

Critical tasks T1 and T2 with TDM slots A and B respectively as well as non-critical tasks t3 and t4.

**Green bars:** requests being processed by the memory (only a single active request at a time)
Example: **Strict Time-Division Multiplexing**
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Critical tasks T1 and T2 with TDM slots A and B respectively as well as non-critical tasks t3 and t4.

**Requests always complete at the end of a TDM slot**
Example: **Strict Time-Division Multiplexing**

Critical tasks T1 and T2 with TDM slots A and B respectively as well as non-critical tasks t3 and t4.

**Arcs:** memory wait time
(from issuing to start of processing)
Example: **Strict Time-Division Multiplexing**

Critical tasks T1 and T2 with TDM slots A and B respectively as well as non-critical tasks t3 and t4.

**Gaps:** computation time between requests (independent from arbitration)
Critical tasks T1 and T2 with TDM slots A and B respectively as well as non-critical tasks t3 and t4.

Non-critical requests can only reclaim unused TDM slots. Still, the approach is non-workconserving.
Issues with TDM
Unused TDM slots — why is the memory idle?
**Issue Delay:** Number of cycles during which the memory is idle, despite pending requests at the arbiter.
**Release Delay**: Number of cycles that memory requests finish earlier than the TDM slot length.
Total Memory Idling: Number of cycles where the memory is not doing anything useful.
Dynamic TDM-based Arbitration
Basic Insight: Deadlines

Interpret the completion date of critical requests under strict TDM as a deadlines.

Orange brackets: deadlines of critical requests
Dynamic TDM-based Arbitration

Extend TDM arbiter such that

- Each **critical** request is associated with a **deadline**
  - Computed when a new request is issued
  - Deadline is at end of a TDM slot of the request owner
  - Scheduled using **Earliest-Deadline-First** strategy (EDF)

- **Best-effort** for **non-critical** requests
  - Can profit from the memory’s **idle** time and are . . .
  - . . . **prioritized** over critical requests whose deadlines are far
  - Scheduled using **First-In First-Out** strategy (FIFO)
    (other alternatives possible, e.g., fixed priorities)

- **Schedule request at any moment**
  - Requests handled independently from TDM slots
  - **Track slack** when critical requests complete before deadline
Example: Dynamic TDM

Same task set using dynamic TDM-based arbitration (TDMer).
Critical requests complete earlier than under strict TDM. Yay!
Critical tasks accumulate slack whenever a request finishes before its deadline (i.e., earlier than strict TDM).
Deadlines are derived by finding the next TDM slot after the delayed issue date ($now + slack$).
Requests are processed any time, independent from TDM slots, iff critical tasks have enough slack (here T1).
Critical requests may also be processed when the upcoming slot belongs to the request owner.
What did we win?
Somme issue delays remain (for now) — all release delays transformed into issue delays.
The total memory idling is considerably improved — but not (yet) work-conserving . . .
Providing initial slack of a single TDM slot ($8\Delta$) eliminates (almost) all release delays . . .
Experiments
Hardware Setup

• Multiple Patmos cores (http://patmos.compute.dtu.dk)
  • 256 B stack cache for stack data
  • 32 KB method cache for code (LRU)
  • 32 KB data cache (2-way set-asso., LRU, write-through)

• Shared main memory
  • Random access latencies between [21, 40] cycles
    \[\Rightarrow\] TDM slot length of 40 cycles
  • Arbitration various variants of based on TDM
    (TDMfs, TDMds, TDMes, TDMer, and TDMeri)
Randomly generated task sets:

• Vary utilization in steps of 10% in range [10%, 100%]
• 25%/75% or 50%/50% critical/non-critical tasks
  • 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24 cores/tasks
  • Overall 4320 simulation runs

• Based on randomized memory traces
  (calibrated from actual traces of MiBench on Patmos)

• Objective:
  • Average-case impact on memory utilization
    (issue & release delays, memory idling)
  • How work-conserving can we get?
Results: Baseline

(a) Strict TDM (TDMfs)

(b) Dynamic TDM respecting slots (TDMds)

Dynamic TDM arbitration largely eliminates issue delays.
Results: Dynamic TDM

(a) Fully dynamic TDM (TDMer)

(b) Dynamic TDM respecting slots (TDMds)

Fully dynamic TDM arbitration noticeably better under high load – but little change in total memory idling . . .
Results: Initial Slack

(a) Fully dynamic TDM (TDMer)

(b) Fully dynamic with Initial Slack (TDMeri)

Initial slack (40 cycles) results in work-conserving arbitration — total memory idling improves considerably under high load
Conclusion

- Dynamic TDM-based arbitration
  - Preserves TDM’s guarantees
  - Simple analysis of critical tasks
  - Essentially work-conserving – Yay!

- What else?
  - Multiple tasks on a single core $\implies$ preemption (WiP)
    - Large slack counter values may delay task preemption
    - How can we control this delay?

- Hardware implementation
  - Efficiently checking for the smallest deadline?
  - How to scale to large multi-/many-cores?
Accumulating Slack:
Subtract actual completion time from deadline.

Slot Independence:
Check slack (deadline) of owner of upcoming TDM slot.