





Three-Level Scheduling: Explanations

- Admission scheduler
 - Processes are first stored into an admission queue
 - Processes are then admitted in the system
- Memory scheduler
 - Swap in / swap out
 - To be avoided: Disk storage is expensive in terms of time
- CPU scheduler
 - See next slides

			TELECOM Paris
3/31	Une école de l'IMT	Operating Systems - Scheduling	🛞 IP PARIS



Scheduling Criteria (Cont.)



ELECON

ARIS

- Response time: Respond to interactions as fast as possible
- Proportionality: Meet users' expectations

- Meeting deadlines: Ensure tasks will be completed before a given time
- Latency: Minimize the latency between an input event and its corresponding output
- **Predictability**: Know in advance whether time constraints can always be met, or not

5/31	Une école de l'IMT	Operating Systems - Scheduling	



D IP PARIS

Non-Preemptive Scheduler





Scheduling Implementation



Basics of Scheduling Scheduling in Windows, Linux and Android Scheduling algorithms Presented Scheduling Algorithms First-Come First-Served Round-Robin scheduling Shortest Job First Priority-based scheduling Shortest Remaining Time Group-based scheduling Next Fair-share scheduling Lottery scheduling For RT systems Presentation of three different Attend lectures on RTOS to know approaches more! FELECOM Paris 1212 10/31 Une école de l'IMT **Operating Systems - Scheduling** 🔊 IP PARIS



First-Come, First-Served (FCFS)

Processes are assigned the CPU in the order they request it

- Single queue of ready processes
- Easy to program, fair
- Non-preemptive scheduling

Example: Average Wait Time for various sets of processes

Process	p1	p2	р3
Duration	24	3	3
Arrival	0	0	0
time (@)			

Arrival order	AWT=?	
p1, p2, p3	$\frac{0+24+27}{3} = 17$	
p2, p3, p1		
p3, p2, p1		TELECOM
		Paris
Operating Systems - 2	Scheduling	P IP PARIS

11/31

Une école de l'IMT



Shortest Job First: Example

Example ‡1: Same arrival time

Process	p1	p2	р3	p4
Duration	8	4	4	4
0	0	0	0	0

Algo	AWT=?
FCFS (p1,	
p2, p3, p4)	
SJF	

Example #2: Various arrival times

Process	p1	p2	р3	p4	p5		Algo
Duration	2	4	1	1	1		FCFS
0	0	0	3	3	3		SJF
Can you find a better scheduling???							

13/31

Une école de l'IMT

Basics	of	Scheduling
00000	000	00

Scheduling algorithms

Scheduling in Windows, Linux and Android

AWT=

TELECOM Paris

IP PARIS

Shortest Remaining Time Next (SRTN)

Preemptive version of *Shortest Job First*

Memo: SJF is non-preemptive

Example: Comparison between SJF and SRTN

Process	p1	p2
Duration	10	1
0	0	1

Algo	Scheduling	AWT=?
SJF	p1 for 10, p2 for 1	$\frac{0+9}{2} = 4.5$
SRTN	p1 for 1, p2 for 1, p1 for 9	$\frac{1+0}{2} = 0.5$

		 <u>.</u>	2	I	TELECOM Paris
14/31	Une école de l'IMT	Operating Sys	tems - Scheduling		IP PARIS

Scheduling algorithms

Round-Robin (RR)



TELECOM Paris

🙆 IP PARIS

Each process is assigned a time quantum

- If the process is still running at the end of its quantum, it is preempted
 - Next process is assigned the CPU
- Widely used, easy to implement, fair



- Quantum too short? Quantum too long?
- What happens if:
 - quantum = ϵ ?
 - quantum = ∞ ?
- **Typical quantum: 10 to 50 ms**

15/31

Une école de l'IMT





Round-Robin: Example

Process	p1	p2	р3
Duration	24	3	3
0	0	0	0
Quantum	4	4	4

p1	p2	р3	p1	p1	p1	p1	p1
0	4	7	10	14	18	22	26

AWT=???

18/31

			TELECOM Paris
17/31	Une école de l'IMT	Operating Systems - Scheduling	🛞 IP PARIS

Basics of Scheduling	Scheduling algorithms	Scheduling in Windows, Linux and Android
Priority-B	ased Scheduling	
		~
Limitati	ons of RR	
	assumes all processes are of equal ir For example, sending of an email vs. I	
Priority-	based scheduling: Priorities are as	ssigned to processes
Sta	tic priority or dynamic priority	
	e process with the higher priority (m hosen	ay be the lower value)
•	RR between processes of the same private the same private state of the same private state state of the same private state state of the same private state st	ority

Une école de l'IMT

Operating Systems - Scheduling

IP PARIS

Priority-Based Scheduling: Dynamic Priorities

- Avoids high-priority processes to jeopardize the CPU (starvation of low-priority processes)
- For example, priority can be set to $priority_{n+1} = \frac{quantum}{r_{-}}$ where t_n is the last computation time

- Process used $1 \text{ms} \rightarrow \text{new priority} = 10$
- Process used 5ms \rightarrow new priority = 2
- Process used 10ms (i.e., all quantum) \rightarrow new priority = 1

			TELECOM Paris
19/31	Une école de l'IMT	Operating Systems - Scheduling	ip paris



Group-based

Scheduling algorithms

Group 1 Group 2





Example: group 1 may have a quantum of 20, and group 2 a quantum of 10

Intra-group	schedul	ing
intra-group	SCHEUU	ilig -

All algorithms previously presented can be used

Inter-group scheduling

- Fixed-priority preemptive scheduling
 - Highest priorities for foreground processes (interactivity)
- Time-slice between groups

Une école de l'IMT





Operating Systems - Scheduling

21/31

Lottery Scheduling



🙆 IP PARIS

Idea: Lotto tickets are given to processes

- A random ticket is picked up, the winner gets a quantum of time
- The number of tickets received by a process is equivalent to its importance
- Processes can exchange tickets for cooperation
- Highly responsive

Example

A video server with three video streams at 10, 20, 25 frames / sec, respectively.

 \rightarrow Each process is given a number of tickets equals to the frame rate i.e 10, 20 and 25

23/31

Une école de l'IMT

Operating Systems - Schedulin



Windows NT Scheduling

See https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/desktop/ procthread/scheduling

Basics

- Priority-based preemptive round-robin scheduling
- Raises the priority of interactive and I/O bound processes
- CPU cycle-based scheduling (since Vista)

A process runs until ..

- It is preempted by a higher priority process
- It terminates
- Its time slice expires (currently "approximately 20 ms")
- It calls a blocking system call

25/31

26/31

Une école de l'IMT

Operating Systems - Scheduling

Basics of Scheduling

Scheduling algorithms

Scheduling in Windows, Linux and Android

TELECOM

🙆 IP PARIS

Windows NT: Priority Classes

			<u>Class</u>	ses				
		Real Time	High	Above Normal	Normal	Below Normal	Idle	
	Time-critical	31	15	15	15	15	15	1
	Highest	26	15	12	10	8	6	1
Priorities	Above-normal	25	14	11	9	7	5	1
<u>I Homes</u>	Normal	24	13	10	8	6	4	1
	Below-Normal	23	12	9	7	5	3	1
	Lowest	22	11	8	6	4	2	1
	Idle	16	1	1	1	1	1	
, i					1			TELECOM Paris
	Une école de l'IMT Operating Systems - Scheduling							ip pari

Windows NT: Changing Classes of Processes

Name	PID			1	CRU		D	_	
Name acrotray.exe	1296	Status Running		User name	00	Memory (p		^	
acrotray.exe	16032	Running		apvrille	00	812 K 820 K			
BACSTray.exe	9712	Running		apyrille	00	664 K			
CcSvcHst.exe	1520	Running		apvine	00		CcSvcHst		
CcSvcHst.exe	1320	Running		apyrille	00		Symantec Service Fr	-	
CSrss.exe	680	Running		abaunc	00	1 152 K		=	
CSrss.exe	9312	Running			00	1 060 K			
dwm.exe	9236	Running			00	8 860 K			
a explorer.exe	10188	Running		apyrille	00	37 564 K			
@iexplore.exe	17448	Running		apvrille	00	6 404 K			
@iexplore.exe	End task			apvrille	00	32 348 K	Internet Explorer	1	
Isass.exe	End process t	ree			00	29 824 K	Lsass		
El msdtc.exe	Set priority			Realtime		1 664 K	Msdtc		
rdpclip.exe	Set affinity		-	High		1 572 K			
🖭 rdpinput.ex			_	-		756 K			
services.exe	Analyze wait	chain		Above normal		5 664 K			
smss.exe	UAC virtualiz	ation	•	Normal		240 K			
spoolsv.exe	Create dump	file		Below normal		8 060 K	Spoolsv		
sqlservr.exe	Open file loca	ation	_	Low		138 744 K			
sqlwriter.ex	Search online				00	4 540 K			
svchost.exe	Properties				00	15 240 K 14 636 K		~	
svcnost.exe		(2)	-		00	14 636 K	SVCROST	1	
 Fewer de 	Go to service	(\$)					End task		

Basics of Scheduling

27/31

Scheduling algorithms

Scheduling in Windows, Linux and Android

Solaris 10: Kernel Architecture



Linux: Priority-Based Scheduler



Scheduling classes

- Real-time processes: SCHED_FIFO, SCHED_RR
- Interactive and batch processes: SCHED_OTHER, SCHED_BATCH
- Low-priority processes: SCHED_IDLE
- One active queue for each of the 140 priorities and for each processor
 - Cross-CPU scheduling regularly performed (e.g., every 200 ms)

SCHED_OTHER

Round-Robin time-sharing policy with dynamic priorities

Processes running for a long time are penalized



29/31

Une école de l'IMT

Operating Systems - Scheduling

Basics of Scheduling

Scheduling algorithms

Scheduling in Windows, Linux and Android

Improvements Since Kernel 2.6.23: "Completely Fair Scheduler"



- "Out of balance" tasks are given time to execute
 - Out of balance task = task has not been given a fair amount of time relative to others
- Time quantum depends upon the time balance of the task w.r.t. other tasks
- The amount of time provided to a given task is called the virtual runtime
 - Group-based: the virtual runtime can also be computed for a group
- Priorities are used as a decay factor for the time a task is permitted to execute



30/31

Basics of Scheduling	Scheduling algorithms	Scheduling in Win ○○○○○●	dows, Linux and Android
Android			
the Linux or • → Fair : ■ BUT: fairne of processe • Foregrou service, ■ To reclaim r	scheduling approach ss according to Groups s und/Active, visible, background, empty esources, Android may s according to their	Foreground Visible Service Background Empty	High priority Low priority

31/31 Une école de l'IMT Operating Systems - Scheduling