Coding for Sensing

Mehrasa Ahmadipour, Michèle Wigger and Mari Kobayashi

Telecom Paris

ISIT

June, 2022

Traditional Radar and Communications Separation



Sensing

Conventional approach: Resource splitting

Integrated Communication and Sensing



Synergistic Waveform for Sensing and CommCoding for Sensing

Information Theoretic Model — The Channel

• State-dependent memoryless channels with generalized feedback



- \bullet Arbitrary forward and backward channels $P_{Y_1Y_2|XS}$ and $P_{Z|Y_1Y_2XS}$
- Model includes as special cases
 - Memoryless fading channels $Y_k = SX + N_k$ or $Y_k = \tilde{S}X + N_k$
 - Receiver CSI: Y_k can include S or imperfect versions of S
 - Generalized feedback can be $Z=SX+W_k$ or $Z=Y_k+W_k$ or \ldots

Information Theoretic Model — Sensing

• Sensing Performance Measured by Average Block-Distortion:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[d(S_i,\hat{S}_i)]\leq D.$$

- Arbitrary distortion functions $d(\cdot, \cdot)$, even functions of the state
- Can handle vector states $S = (S_1, S_2)$, e.g., 2 objects/environments
- Extends to multiple distortions: $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[d_k(S_i, \hat{S}_i)] \leq D_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$

Definition

A rate-distortion tuple (R_1, R_2, D) is achievable if there exist encoder, decoders and estimator s.t.

$$\Pr\left(\hat{M}_1 \neq M_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{M}_2 \neq M_2\right) \to 0 \qquad \text{as} \qquad n \to \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[d(S_i,\hat{S}_i)]\leq D$$

Lemma

The optimal estimator operates symbolwise on (X^n, Z^n)

$$h^*(x^n, z^n) := (\hat{s}^*(x_1, z_1), \hat{s}^*(x_2, z_2), \dots, \hat{s}^*(x_n, z_n)),$$

where the optimal per-symbol estimator is

$$\hat{s}^*(x,z) := rgmin_{s'\in\hat{\mathcal{S}}} \sum_{s\in\mathcal{S}} P_{S|XZ}(s|x,z)d(s,s').$$

- Given (X^n, Z^n) , min. distortion $D_{\min} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[d(S_i, \hat{s}^*(X_i, Z_i))]$
- Finding an optimal integrated sensing & comm. scheme reduces to finding optimal comm. scheme with desired X^n statistics
- \bullet Tradeoff between comm and sensing stems from X^n statistics

State-Dependent BCs with Generalized Feedback



- Feedback does not increase capacity of degraded BCs (El Gamal,'79)
- Achievable scheme for general BCs (Shayevitz et al'12, Venkataramanan et al'13)
- Capacity of several BCs with full Receiver-CSI (Kim et al'16)

Intuition about the Shayevitz-Wigger BC Scheme



- Block-Markov strategy:
 - Compression info
 sent in block b+1: info about channel in block
 b learned via feedback
 - $\bullet\,$ Block- b outputs improved with compression info sent in block b+1
- New data and compression info sent with Marton's BC scheme (without feedback)

Results on Capacity-Distortion Region of BCs

Degraded Broadcast Channels $X \to Y_1 \to Y_2$

Capacity-distortion region \mathcal{CD} : set of triples (R_1, R_2, D) satisfying

$$\begin{array}{rcl} R_{1} & \leq & I(X; Y_{1} \mid U) \\ R_{2} & \leq & I(U; Y_{2}), \\ \mathbb{E}[d(S, \hat{s}^{*}(X, Z))] & \leq & D \end{array}$$

for some pmf $P_{UX}P_SP_{Y_1Y_2Z|XS}.$

General Broadcast Channels

Inner and outer bounds (feasible and infeasible regions) based on Shayevitz-W. scheme and genie-aided bound

Binary Fading Example: Capacity-Distortion Region

- Double-State $S = (S_1, S_2)$ with corr. components, known at Rxs!
- Fading outputs $Y_k = S_k X$, for k = 1, 2 (without noise)
- Perfect Rx CSI and both outputs fed back $Z = (Y_1, Y_2)$
- When X = 1 Tx learns S_1, S_2 ; when X = 0 it learns nothing



- Resource/timesharing approaches sub-optimal
- Tradeoff betwen optimal sensing and comm. performances

Multiple-Access Channels

- Two Txs sense (correlated) states and also send data messages
- Feedback allows for communication/coordination between Txs



- Sensing at Tx k based only on (X_k^n, Z_k^n) is suboptimal!
- Use coding not only for communication but also for sensing!

Coding for Sensing – A Toy Example

- Via feedback, Tx 1 can get info about S_2 that Tx 2 does not get \rightarrow use coding and send it to Tx 2 through the feedback link
- Example: $Y = S_2 X_2$ $Z_1 = S_2$ $Z_2 = X_1$

Can achieve distortion 0 at Tx 2 if Tx 1 repeats its feedback

$$X_{1,i} = Z_{1,i-1} = S_{2,i-1} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad Z_{2,i} = S_{2,i-1}$$

Without Tx 1 repeating the feedback this is not possible!

Coding for sensing can help if Tx 1 has info. on S_2 that is not available at Tx 2 (or vice versa)

Coding Scheme

- Extends [Willems'83] scheme (MAC with generalized feedback)
- Block-Markov coding and backwards decoding
- Feedback allows to create cooperative U_0 -codeword
- Txs exchange message parts and compression info for sensing



• $J_{k,(b)}$: compression info about $(Z_{k,(b)}^n, X_{k,(b)}^n, U_{k,(b)}^n)$

Results on MAC with Integrated Sensing and Communications

- New idea of coding-for-sensing
- Improved achievable region (better sensing performance) over state of the art
- Capacity-distortion region for the MAC remains an open problem

- Presented information-theoretic framework for integrated sensing and communication (Kobayashi,Caire,Kramer'18)
- Single Tx: sensing performance depends only on X^n statistics, i.e., on the chosen waveform
- Tradeoff between rates and distortion(s)
- Multiple Txs: fully integrated coding for sensing and comm. \rightarrow we included coding for sensing in Willems'83 scheme

• Interesting future research direction: channels with memory