# Simultaneous HDR image reconstruction and denoising for dynamic scenes

Cecilia Aguerrebere, Julie Delon, Yann Gousseau and Pablo Musé

Télécom ParisTech, France Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de la República, Uruguay

International Conference on Computational Photography April 2013

### High Dynamic Range Imaging (HDR)

Capture a scene containing a large range of intensity levels...



Limited contrast range in the picture  $\rightarrow$  loss of details in bright and/or dark areas.

### High Dynamic Range Imaging (HDR)

... using a regular digital camera.



Limited contrast range in the picture  $\rightarrow$  loss of details in bright and/or dark areas.

### High Dynamic Range Imaging (HDR)

... using a regular digital camera.



Limited contrast range in the picture  $\rightarrow$  loss of details in bright and/or dark areas.

### HDR Imaging



### HDR Imaging Examples



### Challenges of HDR imaging in dynamic scenes



### Challenges of HDR imaging in dynamic scenes



camera motion

### Challenges of HDR imaging in dynamic scenes



### Existing methods

Treat each problem **separately**.

## Camera motion Global alignment adapted to different exposures [Ward2003]

Dynamic scenes De-ghosting techniques [Grosch2006,Jacobs2008,Sidibe2009,Gallo2009,Heo2010]

Noise Denoising techniques [Buades2005,Dabov2007]

















Advantages of the non-local patch based approach

## Denoising power most state-of-the-art methods use patches (NL-means, BM3D).

Motion / Alignment No need for explicit motion detection or image registration.

Distance between patches centered at pixels  $\boldsymbol{p}$  and  $\boldsymbol{q}$ 

$$d(p,q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{pj} - \mathbf{x}_{qj})^2 - 2\sigma_{pj}^2}{2\sigma_{pj}^2}$$

where

- **x**<sub>pj</sub> pixel value in the irradiance domain (j-th pixel of patch p)
  σ<sup>2</sup><sub>ni</sub> variance of **x**<sub>pj</sub>
  - Denoising level at pixel  $\mathbf{x}_{pj}$  is controlled by its variance  $\sigma_{pj}^2$
- $\bullet~N$  number of pixels in the patch

How to set the denoising parameter  $\sigma_{pj}^2$ ?

Distance between patches centered at pixels  $\boldsymbol{p}$  and  $\boldsymbol{q}$ 

$$d(p,q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{pj} - \mathbf{x}_{qj})^2 - 2\sigma_{pj}^2}{2\sigma_{pj}^2}$$

where

- x<sub>pj</sub> pixel value in the irradiance domain (j-th pixel of patch p)
   σ<sup>2</sup><sub>pj</sub> variance of x<sub>pj</sub>
  - Denoising level at pixel  $\mathbf{x}_{pj}$  is controlled by its variance  $\sigma_{pj}^2$
- $\bullet~N$  number of pixels in the patch

How to set the denoising parameter  $\sigma_{pj}^2$ ?



Known variance model  $\sigma_{pj}^2(C) = g^2 a \tau C + \sigma_R^2 \approx g(z_{pj} - \mu_R) + \sigma_R^2$ 

$$d(p,q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{pj} - \mathbf{x}_{qj})^2 - 2(g(z_{pj} - \mu_R) + \sigma_R^2)}{2(g(z_{pj} - \mu_R) + \sigma_R^2)}$$



$$d(p,q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{pj} - \mathbf{x}_{qj})^2 - 2(g(z_{pj} - \mu_R) + \sigma_R^2)}{2(g(z_{pj} - \mu_R) + \sigma_R^2)}$$



$$d(p,q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{pj} - \mathbf{x}_{qj})^2 - 2(g(\mathbf{z}_{pj} - \mu_R) + \sigma_R^2)}{2(g(\mathbf{z}_{pj} - \mu_R) + \sigma_R^2)}$$



- Which is the best way to **combine samples** with the **same** underlying **irradiance**?
- Maximum likelihood estimator by Granados et al. is the state-of-the-art for pixelwise estimation for static scene / static camera [Granados2010].
- We performed theoretical and experimental study and show the **MLE** is nearly optimal [Aguerrebere2012]
  - for perfectly corregistered images
  - under model  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(C), \sigma^2(C))$
  - Optimal = Minimum variance
- Not obvious for non asymptotical cases ( $\sim$  4 samples per pixel)

- Which is the best way to **combine samples** with the **same** underlying **irradiance**?
- Maximum likelihood estimator by Granados et al. is the state-of-the-art for pixelwise estimation for static scene / static camera [Granados2010].
- We performed theoretical and experimental study and show the **MLE** is nearly optimal [Aguerrebere2012]
  - for perfectly corregistered images
  - under model  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(C), \sigma^2(C))$
  - Optimal = Minimum variance

• Not obvious for non asymptotical cases ( $\sim$  4 samples per pixel)

- Which is the best way to **combine samples** with the **same** underlying **irradiance**?
- Maximum likelihood estimator by Granados et al. is the state-of-the-art for pixelwise estimation for static scene / static camera [Granados2010].
- We performed theoretical and experimental study and show the **MLE** is nearly optimal [Aguerrebere2012]
  - for perfectly corregistered images
  - under model  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(C), \sigma^2(C))$
  - Optimal = Minimum variance

• Not obvious for non asymptotical cases ( $\sim$  4 samples per pixel)

- Which is the best way to **combine samples** with the **same** underlying **irradiance**?
- Maximum likelihood estimator by Granados et al. is the state-of-the-art for pixelwise estimation for static scene / static camera [Granados2010].
- We performed theoretical and experimental study and show the **MLE** is nearly optimal [Aguerrebere2012]
  - for perfectly corregistered images
  - under model  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(C), \sigma^2(C))$
  - Optimal = Minimum variance
- Not obvious for non asymptotical cases ( $\sim$  4 samples per pixel)

### MLE nearly optimal

Cramér Rao lower bound for irradiance estimation [Aguerrebere2012]



At most 4 samples per pixel!

C. Aguerrebere, J. Delon, Y. Gousseau, and P. Musé. Best algorithms for HDR image generation. A study of performance bounds. Submitted to SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences (SIIMS).

Once stated which samples follow  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(C), \sigma^2(C))$  for the given irradiance, estimate C as

$$\begin{split} C_{\rm MLE} &= \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{H} w_{\rm MLE}^{h} \left(\frac{(z_{h}-\mu_{R})}{ag\tau_{h}}\right)}{\sum_{h=1}^{H} w_{\rm MLE}^{h}} \\ w_{\rm MLE}^{h} &= \frac{1}{\operatorname{var} \left(\frac{(z_{h}-\mu_{R})}{ag\tau_{h}}\right)} = \frac{(ga\tau_{h})^{2}}{g(z_{h}-\mu_{R}) + \sigma_{R}^{2}} \end{split}$$

with  $z_h$ , h = 1, ..., H pixels found to be similar according to d(p,q).

### Reference image selection



- Information from saturated regions cannot be retrieved.
- Need to fill saturated regions.

### Reference image selection



No underexposed pixels and the fewest saturated pixels

#### Selected reference



 $au_i$ 

- Information from saturated regions cannot be retrieved.
- Need to fill saturated regions.

### Reference image selection



- Information from saturated regions cannot be retrieved.
- Need to fill saturated regions.

#### selected reference



 $au_i$ 

#### Other filling techniques are possible, e.g. work by Sen et al. [Sen2012]



#### Other filling techniques are possible, e.g. work by Sen et al. [Sen2012]



#### Other filling techniques are possible, e.g. work by Sen et al. [Sen2012]



Other filling techniques are possible, e.g. work by Sen et al. [Sen2012]

Input images



Reference image



#### Our approach



#### No ghosting artifacts



Reference image













Input images



Reference image



#### Our approach



#### No ghosting artifacts







Reference image



Our approach

Sen et al.

#### Input images











Reference image

Our approach

#### No ghosting artifacts







Reference image



Our approach



Denoising before: denoise each LDR image before combination

#### Disadvantages:

- Need of accurate global registration.
- Need of motion detection.
- Removed details may be kept on multi-image denoising.

Denoising after: denoise the result of an HDR imaging method

Disadvantages:

- Depending on the method:
  - Need of accurate global registration.
  - Need of motion detection.
- Noise model no longer valid: unknown pixels variance and difficulty to set denoising parameter for classical denoising approaches.

Denoising before: denoise each LDR image before combination

#### Disadvantages:

- Need of accurate global registration.
- Need of motion detection.
- Removed details may be kept on multi-image denoising.

Denoising after: denoise the result of an HDR imaging method

#### Disadvantages:

- Depending on the method:
  - Need of accurate global registration.
  - Need of motion detection.
- Noise model no longer valid: unknown pixels variance and difficulty to set denoising parameter for classical denoising approaches.

Denoised reference





Denoised Sen et al.





Our approach





### Summary

- We presented a new method for HDR image generation which copes simultaneously with three important problems:
  - noise
  - camera motion (hand-held camera)
  - multiple objects motion (dynamic scenes)
- The noise reduction capacity and robustness to camera and object motion was experimentally verified in various real cases.
- The results show good denoising performance and no ghosting artifacts.

Thanks. Questions?



Filled reference denoised using NL-means with adaptive denoising threshold.

### Raw data processing

